1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Judith E. Dávila (AZ #031200) Sandra L. Lyons (AZ #010781) National Labor Relations Board, Region 28 2600 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1400 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Tel: (602) 640-2121 Fax: (602) 640-2178 Email: judith.davila@nlrb.gov sandra.lyons@nlrb.gov Attorneys for Petitioner	
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
10	CORNELE A. OVERSTREET,	Case No.
11	Regional Director of the Twenty-Eighth Region of the National Labor Relations	
12	Board, for and on behalf of the National Labor Relations Board,	PETITION FOR TEMPORARY INJUNCTION UNDER SECTION 10(j)
13		OF THE NATIONAL LABOR
14	Petitioner,	RELATIONS ACT, AS AMENDED [29 U.S.C. § 160(j)]
15	v.	,))
16	BODEGA LATINA CORPORATION d/b/a EL SUPER,	(Oral argument requested)
17	Respondent.	
18))
19	Cornele A. Overstreet, Regional Director of Region 28 (Regional	
20	Director) of the National Labor Relations Board (Board), petitions this Court, for and on	
21	behalf of the Board, pursuant to Section 10(j) of the National Labor Relations Act, as	
22		
23	amended [61 Stat. 149; 73 Stat. 544; 29 U.S.C. § 160(j)] (Act), for appropriate	
24	injunctive relief pending the final disposition of the matters involved herein pending a	
25	decision by the Board, on a complaint issued by the General Counsel of the Board	
26		

6

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26 (General Counsel), alleging, inter alia, that Bodega Latina Corporation d/b/a El Super (Respondent) has engaged in, and is engaging in, acts and conduct in violation of Section 8(a)(1) and (3) of the Act [29 U.S.C. § 158(a)(1) and (3)]. In support of this petition, Petitioner respectfully shows the following:

- 1. Petitioner is the Regional Director of Region 28 of the Board, an agency of the United States, and files this petition for and on behalf of the Board.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to Section 10(j) of the Act, which provides, inter alia, that the Board shall have the power, upon issuance of a complaint charging that any person has engaged in unfair labor practices, to petition this Court for appropriate temporary injunctive relief or a restraining order pending final disposition of the matter by the Board.
- 3. (a) On January 6, 2015, the United Food and Commercial Workers Local 99 (Union), filed a charge with the Board, in Case 28-CA-143974, alleging, inter alia, that Respondent has engaged in, and is engaging in, unfair labor practices within the meaning of Section 8(a)(1) and (3) of the Act. PX 3.¹
- (b) On March 26, 2015, the Union filed a charge with the Board, in Case 28-CA-148919, alleging, inter alia, that Respondent has engaged in, and is engaging in, unfair labor practices within the meaning of Section 8(a)(1) and (3) of the Act. PX 7.

¹ Petitioner has filed evidence in support of this Petition, contained within an Appendix of Exhibits, which includes the affidavits and supplemental exhibits. References to the Appendix of Exhibits will be designated as "PX" followed by the appropriate exhibit number, and, as appropriate, the page and line number(s) of the respective exhibit.

- (c) On April 10, 2015, the Union filed a charge with the Board, in Case 28-CA-149898, alleging, inter alia, that Respondent has engaged in, and is engaging in, unfair labor practices within the meaning of Section 8(a)(1) and (3) of the Act. PX 5.
- 4. (a) The aforesaid charges were referred to Petitioner as Regional Director for Region 28 of the Board.
- (b) Upon receipt of the charges described above in paragraph 3, and after the investigation of the charges in which Respondent was given the opportunity to present evidence and legal argument, the General Counsel, on behalf of the Board, pursuant to Section 10(b) of the Act [29 U.S.C. § 160(b)], issued an Order Consolidating Cases, Consolidated Complaint and Notice of Hearing on April 22, 2015 (Complaint), alleging that Respondent engaged in, and is engaging in, unfair labor practices within the meaning of Section 8(a)(1) and (3) of the Act. PX 11.
- (c) On May 6, 2015, Respondent filed its Answer to the Consolidated Complaint (Answer), denying the commission of any unfair labor practices. PX 13.
- (d) A hearing before an administrative law judge of the Board has been noticed and is scheduled to commence on July 21, 2015, in Phoenix, Arizona.
- 5. There is reasonable cause to believe that the allegations set forth in the Complaint are true and Respondent has engaged in, and is engaging in, unfair labor practices within the meaning of Section 8(a)(1) and (3) of the Act, which are affecting commerce within the meaning of Section 2(6) and (7) of the Act [29 U.S.C. § 152 (6) and (7)], for which a remedy will be ordered by the Board, but that the Board's order for

such remedy will be frustrated without the temporary injunctive relief sought herein. Petitioner asserts that there is a substantial likelihood of success in prevailing in the underlying administrative proceedings in Cases 28-CA-143974, 28-CA-148919, and 28-CA-149898 (Cases 28-CA-143974 et al.), and establishing that Respondent has engaged in, and is engaging in, unfair labor practices in violation of Section 8(a)(1) and (3) of the Act by, inter alia, interrogating employees about their union and concerted activities; engaging in surveillance of employees engaged in union and concerted activities; creating the impression of surveillance of employee union and concerted activities; threats of unspecified reprisals against employees for engaging in union or concerted activity; soliciting employee complaints and grievances to discourage employees from engaging in union or concerted activity; granting of benefits to employees to discourage employees from engaging in union or concerted activity; promulgating unlawful workplace rules in response to its employees' union and concerted activities; issuing a written warning to a key union organizer; and unlawfully discharging two active union supporters. In support thereof, and of the request for temporary injunctive relief, Petitioner, upon information and belief, shows the following:

19

20 | 21 |

22

23

24

25

26

(a) At all material times, Respondent has been a corporation with offices and places of business in Phoenix, Arizona, at 5127 West Indian School Road (the 51st Avenue facility), 7502 West Thomas Road (the 75th Avenue facility), and 3130 East Thomas Road (the 31st Street facility) (collectively, Respondent's facilities), and has been engaged in the retail sale of groceries and related items.

legitimate commercial interests of the enterprise can lead to disciplinary action, which can reach up to dismissal.

- (2) Only Publish Appropriate and Respectful Content (Social Media): Keep the confidentiality of trade secrets and private or confidential information of the company. Trade secrets may include information relating to the development of systems, processes, products, technical knowledge and technology. Do not post internal reports, policies, procedures or other confidential communications related to domestic businesses.
- (3) Only Publish Appropriate and Respectful Content (Social Media): Only express your personal opinions. Never represent yourself as a spokesperson for the company. If the company is the subject of the content that you are creating, be clear and open about the fact that you are an employee and make it clear that your views do not represent those of the company, colleagues, clients, suppliers or people working on behalf of the company. If you do indeed publish a blog or publish interest content related to the work you do or subjects related to the company, make it clear that you are not speaking on behalf of the company.
- (4) Personal Cellular Telephones, Pagers, or Similar Devices:

 Personal cellular phones, pagers, and other similar personal electronic devices should not be used or worn while you are working. Such devices should be stored in your locker (if one is provided) or in your vehicle; they should not be carried around (even if turned off or on 'silent' mode) while you are working.

- (g) Respondent promulgated and maintained the rules described above in paragraph 5(f) to discourage employees from assisting the Union or engaging in other concerted activities.
- (h) About August 1, 2014, Respondent, by issuing its employees a new handbook, threatened its employees with unspecified reprisals because of their union activities.
- (i) About August 1, 2014, Respondent, at Respondent's facilities, increased employee benefits by granting its employees with one-year tenure, two paid sick days a year to dissuade them from supporting the Union as their bargaining representative.
- (j) About December 23, 2014, Respondent, by Luis Trujillo (Trujillo), at the 51st Avenue facility:
- (1) threatened its employees with unspecified reprisals because of union activities;
- (2) interrogated its employees about their union membership, activities, and sympathies; and
- (3) by asking its employees working at the 51st Avenue facility the name of the employees participating in the Union's protest at the 51st Avenue facility, created an impression among its employees that their union activities were under surveillance by Respondent.
- (k) About December 26, 2014, Respondent, by Veronica Tapia, at the 51st Avenue facility:

- (n) About February 6, 2015, Respondent, by Trujillo, at the 51st Avenue facility:
- (1) interrogated its employees about their union membership, activities, and sympathies and the union membership, activities, and sympathies of other employees; and
- (2) by reporting to its employees that they had been seen at the Union's protest at the 75th Avenue facility and by reporting that Trujillo knew the identity of the employee who had been with its employees at the Union's protest, created an impression among its employees that their union activities were under surveillance by Respondent.
- (o) About March 4, 2015, Respondent, by Juan Guzman, at the 75th Avenue facility, by photographing its employees participating in the Union's protest at the 75th Avenue facility, engaged in surveillance of employees engaged in union activities.
- (p) About March 5, 2015, Respondent, by James Lubary, at the 51st Avenue facility:
- (1) interrogated its employees about their union membership, activities, and sympathies;
- (2) by reporting to its employees that he had seen a photograph of them participating in the Union's protest at the 75th Avenue facility, created an impression among its employees that their union activities were under surveillance by Respondent; and

engaged in concerted activities and to discourage employees from engaging in these activities.

- 7. By the conduct described above in paragraph 5(f) through 5(r), Respondent has been interfering with, restraining, and coercing employees in the exercise of the rights guaranteed in Section 7 of the Act in violation of Section 8(a)(1) of the Act.
- 8. By the conduct described above in paragraph 6(a) through 6(d), Respondent has been discriminating in regard to the hire or tenure or terms and conditions of employment of its employees, thereby discouraging membership in a labor organization in violation of Section 8(a)(1) and (3) of the Act.
- 9. The unfair labor practices of Respondent described above affect commerce within the meaning of Section 2(6) and (7) of the Act.
- 10. Certain of the unfair labor practices of Respondent described above have taken place within this judicial district.
- 11. Upon information and belief, unless injunctive relief is immediately obtained, it can fairly be anticipated that employees will permanently and irreversibly lose the benefits of the Board's processes and the exercise of statutory rights for the entire period required for the Board adjudication of this matter, a harm which cannot be remedied in due course by the Board.
- 12. There is no adequate remedy at law for the irreparable harm being caused by Respondent's unfair labor practices, as described above in paragraph 5.

- 13. Granting the temporary injunctive relief requested by Petitioner will cause no undue hardship to Respondent.
- 14. In balancing the equities in this matter, if injunctive relief as requested is not granted, the harm to the employees involved herein, to the public interest, and to the purposes of the Act, would clearly outweigh any harm that the grant of such injunctive relief will work on Respondent.
- Respondent's conduct of the unfair labor practices described above in paragraph 5 is immediately enjoined and restrained, Respondent will continue to engage in those acts and conduct, or similar acts and conduct constituting unfair labor practices, during the proceedings before the Board and during any subsequent proceedings before a United States Court of Appeals, with the predictable result of continued interference with the rights of employees to engage in activities protected by Section 7 of the Act, with the result that employees will be deprived of their Section 7 rights under the Act, inter alia, to form, join, or assist a labor organization or to refrain from any and all such activities, and will be denied their statutory right to engage in other concerted activities for the purpose of collective bargaining or other mutual aid or protection, all to the detriment of the policies of the Act, the public interest, the interest of the employees involved, and the interest of the Union.
- 16. Upon information and belief, to avoid the serious consequences set forth above, it is essential, just, proper, and appropriate for the purposes of effectuating the policies of the Act and the public interest, and to avoid substantial, irreparable, and

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

immediate injury to such policies and interest, and in accordance with the purposes of Section 10(j) of the Act that, pending final disposition of the matters now before the Board, Respondent be enjoined and restrained from committing the acts and conduct alleged above, similar acts and conduct, or repetitions thereof, and also be ordered to take the affirmative action set forth below in paragraph 2.

WHEREFORE, Petitioner prays:

- 1. That the Court issue an order directing Respondent to appear before this Court, at a time and place fixed by the Court, and show cause why an injunction should not issue and, after consideration, issue an injunction directing, enjoining, and restraining Respondent, its officers, agents, servants, representatives, successors, and assigns, and all persons acting in concert or participation with them, pending the final disposition of the matters herein now pending before the Board, to cease and desist from:
- promulgating overly-broad work rules in response to its (a) employees' union or concerted activities;
- (b) threatening employees because they engage in union or concerted activities;
 - interrogating employees about their union or concerted activities; (c)
- (d) soliciting employee complaints and grievances to discourage employees from engaging in union or concerted activities;
- (e) engaging in the surveillance of employees who engage in union or concerted activities;

- (f) creating the impression that it is engaging in the surveillance of employees who engage in union or concerted activities;
- (g) promising employees benefits if they refrain from participating in union or concerted activities;
- (h) issuing discipline to employees because they engage in union or concerted activities;
- (i) discharging employees because they engaged in union or concerted activities; and
- (j) in any other manner interfering with, restraining, or coercing employees in the exercise of the rights guaranteed them under Section 7 of the National Labor Relations Act.
- 2. That the Court require Respondent to take the following affirmative actions:
- (a) Within five (5) days of the Court's issuance of an Order Granting Temporary Injunction (Injunction Order), offer, in writing, Scarlet Sandoval and Leylani Salgado, in writing, immediate interim reinstatement to their former jobs, at their previous wages and other terms and conditions of employment, displacing if necessary any worker(s) hired or transferred to replace them, or if their former jobs no longer exists, to a substantially equivalent position, without prejudice to their seniority or any other rights or privileges previously enjoyed;
- (b) Within fourteen (14) days of the Court's issuance of an Injunction Order, remove from its files any and all records of its discharge of Scarlet Sandoval and

Leylani Salgado, and within three (3) days thereafter, notify them in writing that this was done, and that the material removed will not be used as a basis for any future personnel action against them or referred to in response to any inquiry from any employer, employment agency, unemployment insurance office, or reference seeker, or otherwise used against them;

- (c) Within fourteen (14) days of the Court's issuance of an Injunction Order, remove from its files any and all records of its disciplinary warning issued to Maria Neyoy, and within three (3) days thereafter, notify her in writing that this was done, and that the material removed will not be used as a basis for any future personnel action against her or referred to in response to any inquiry from any employer, employment agency, unemployment insurance office, or reference seeker, or otherwise used against her;
- (d) Within fourteen (14) days of the Court's issuance of an Injunction Order, rescind the following work rules that were promulgated on or about August 1, 2014, and inform its employees, in writing, that this has been done: Guidelines (Social Media); Only Publish Appropriate and Respectful Content (Social Media); and Personal Cellular Telephones, Pagers, or Similar Devices.
- (e) Post copies of the Court's Injunction Order at Respondent's facilities in all places where notices to its employees are normally posted, as well as translations of the Court's order provided to the Respondent by the Regional Director of the Board in languages other than English as necessary to ensure effective communication to Respondent's employees; maintain these postings during the Board's

administrative proceeding free from all obstructions and defacements; grant all employees free and unrestricted access to said postings; and grant to agents of the Board reasonable access to its facilities to monitor compliance with this posting requirement;

- Order, hold a mandatory meeting or meetings, during work time or at a time scheduled to insure maximum attendance, at which the Court's order is to be read to the employees by a responsible management official in the presence of an agent of the Board, or, at Respondent's option, by an agent of the Board in that official's presence, translated into languages other than English as necessary to ensure the effective communication with Respondent's employees;
- (g) Within twenty (20) days of the Court's issuance of an Injunction Order, file an affidavit of compliance with the Court, and a copy with the Regional Director for Region 28, describing with specificity what steps it has taken to comply with the terms of the Court's Order, including proof of service of such documents.
- 3. That upon return of the Order to Show Cause, the Court issue an Order Granting Temporary Injunction enjoining and restraining Respondent in the manner set forth above.
- 4. That the Court grant such further and other relief as may be just and proper.

Dated at Phoenix, Arizona, this 5th day of June 2015.

/s/ Judith E. Dávila Judith E. Dávila, Esq. Sandra L. Lyons, Esq.

Case 2:15-cv-01032-DLR Document 1 Filed 06/05/15 Page 17 of 17

1	
2	On behalf of: Cornele A. Overstreet, Regional Director
3	National Labor Relations Board, Region 28 2600 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1400
4	Phoenix, Arizona 85004-3099
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	
23	
24	
25	
26	